

## A DIVISION - PUBLIC CONSULTATION

### INTRODUCTION

1. The purpose of this report is to update Members on the product of public consultation at Police Authority Crime and Drug Teams (PACDTs) in A Division during the period 1 December 2005 to 28 February 2006.

### INFORMATION

2. During the period this report covers there were five public consultation meetings held in A Division. A matrix attached at Appendix A shows the results of this consultation and a full breakdown of the issues raised. The top three issues causing the most concern for local residents of this area are (in order of precedence);
  - i. **Complaints against young people** was the issue of most concern raised by local residents, this includes complaints about young people congregating and intimidation by large groups.
  - ii. **Alcohol related disorder** was the second most reported issues. This is connected in some way to the above complaints with many people reporting groups of young people drinking alcohol in the street and causing nuisance.
  - iii. The **national restructure** of forces, along with **local changes to neighbourhood policing**, was the third most discussed item at these meetings, with interest created by the Home Office merger proposals and what this would mean for policing on the south bank of the river.
3. A review of the consultative arrangements in A Division and the structure of the PACDTs is due to be carried out on 14 March 2006. Members will receive an update of any changes/recommendations to the current arrangements at the next committee meeting.

### DIVISIONAL PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

4. The top two issues raised by the public in A Division are reflected in the Policing Plan 2006 – 09 as a key priority to *“increase pride and respect within neighbourhoods by dealing effectively with anti-social behaviour, criminal damage and other incidents that make communities feel unsafe”*.

5. Current A Division crime performance is set out in the table below.

<b>Issue</b>	<b>October – December 2005 (Comparison July - September)</b>
Total Crime	Down 9% (3.938/1000 households)
Domestic Burglary	Down 32% (2.335)
Vehicle Crime	Down 4% (0.298)
Robbery	Down 21% (0.082)
Violent Crime	Down 11% (1.053)
Racially Aggravated Offences	Down 15% (0.038)
Drug Offences	Up 11% (0.07)

### **CDRP PERFORMANCE SUMMARY**

6. Safer Communities, the Community Safety Partnership for A Division, is currently ranked 15<sup>th</sup> out of 15 (worse than peers but showing a clear improvements in most categories including BCS comparator crimes) in their Most Similar CDRP family grouping. BCS comparator crimes include, vehicle theft, burglary, bicycle theft, theft from person, vandalism, common assault, wounding and robbery.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

7. It is recommended that: -
- i. That the issues and concerns raised at PACDTs in A Division be fed into the planning of the budget and setting priorities.
  - ii. Matters of operational policing continue to be referred to the Chief Constable for action.
  - iii. The Chief Constable is requested to report to the Authority, under the next agenda item, as to how the issues raised in this report have been resolved.
  - iv. This report is forwarded to all A Division PACDT Chairs for their information.

**JOHN BATES**  
**Director of Performance and Resources**

Contact Officer: Victoria Wise Telephone: (01482) 307234

Background Documents: iQuanta performance figures

## Appendix A

	Grimsby North	Grimsby South	Grimsby Central	Immingham	Clee North	Clee South	Total
Complaints against young people		1		1	2	2	6
Anti-Social Behaviour	1				1	1	3
Drug/Alcohol related disorder	1			1	2	1	5
Call Handling/Contacting				1	1	1	3
Response times/feedback					1		1
Police Resources and Staffing		1					1
Motorbikes/Mopeds					1		1
Neighbourhood Watch/Community Safety	1	1					2
Specials, PCSOs, Wardens				2	1		3
Vehicle Crime							
Fireworks					1		1
CCTV	1	1					2
Parking/Obstruction					2	1	3
Speeding		1				1	2
Burglary							
Criminal damage						1	1
National/Local restructure	1	1		1	2		5

**Grimsby Central has yet to be established due to the lack of definable community in the area which is the town centre shopping district.**